



NEVADA STATE LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES APPLICATION RATING FORM



FY 2015 Library Services & Technology Act Instructions: Rate the applications according to the criteria provided.	Return to: Nevada State Library and Archives LSTA Grants 100 North Stewart Street Carson City, NV 89701
---	---

Grant Applicant	Total Points Award
Grant Number	Project Title

Sections		
1. Need/Opportunity (20 points maximum) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Need for project is clearly documented and relevant to the LSTA and documented with data sources. Realistic progress can be made in the project and the appropriate people and agencies are involved in the project and have submitted letters of commitment (15-20 points) ➤ Development of needs information seems reasonable but relies on missing information, data sources are not clearly indicated, limited references to appropriate people and agencies (10-15 points) ➤ Concerns with needs statement, limited or no references to data sources, appropriate people and agencies related to target group are missing (5-10 points) ➤ Serious deficiencies in statement on need, significant information lacking (0-5 points) 		
Notes/Questions	Initial Score	Post-discussion
2. Benefits/Outcomes to Target Audience (10 points maximum) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Target Audience is clearly described with sufficient information to link to the need with stated benefits, clearly stated and appropriate to the project (5-10 points) ➤ Information on the benefit to the Target Audience and outcomes seem reasonable; however, information is incomplete (0-5 points) 		
Notes/Questions	Initial Score	Post-discussion
3. LSTA & Local Goals (10 points maximum) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Clearly identifies goals/objectives for LSTA and local plan that apply to project (5-10 points) ➤ Lists some LSTA goals or local goals that apply to the project; but not both, LSTA or local goals don't appear connected to project (0-5 points) 		
Notes/Questions	Initial Score	Post-discussion

4. Implementation (20 points maximum) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Activities of the project are clearly stated, measurable, and appropriate to the project (15-20 points) ➤ Implementation plan seems reasonable but relies on unknowns (10-15 points) ➤ Concerns with implementation plan (5-10 points) ➤ Serious deficiencies in implementation plan, significant information is missing (0-5 points) 		
Notes/Questions	Initial Score	Post-discussion
5. Evaluation (15 points maximum) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Evaluation is clearly stated, measurable, and appropriate to the project, responsibility is assigned and includes appropriate people/agencies and appropriate partners (10-15 points) ➤ Evaluation plan seems reasonable, some factors may not be assigned or clearly stated (5-10 points) ➤ Serious deficits in evaluation plan, missing information, unclear, responsibility not assigned (0-5) 		
Notes/Questions	Initial Score	Post-discussion
6. Budget (15 points maximum plus bonus) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Budget is clearly stated, appropriate to the project, and complies with LSTA and grant categories; matching funds are clear – no calculation errors (10-15 points) ➤ Budget is clearly stated, some budget items do not appear appropriate to the project, match listed but not clear, complies with LSTA and grant categories – may have calculation errors (5-10 points) ➤ Budget appears incomplete, budget items critical to the success of the project are missing, match is missing, budget items do not appear appropriate to the project, several calculation errors (0-5 points) ➤ Library/agency commitment is demonstrated with new resources to support the project (Bonus +5 points) 		
Notes/Questions	Initial Score	Post-discussion
7. Overall Merit (10 points maximum) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Overall project merit is outstanding for services to users (0-5 points) ➤ Improvement of library/information services (0-5 points) 		
TOTAL: 100 Points	Initial	Post discussion
Condition for award of LSTA Grant: Identify any budget concerns or other conditions recommended before this grant should be funded.		